

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/057,118 | 01/25/2002 | Uday Kumar | FA0986 US NA | 1858 | |
| 23906 | 7590 04/24/2003 | | | | |
| | T DE NEMOURS AN | EXAMINER | | | |
| BARLEY MII | ENT RECORDS CENTE LL PLAZA 25/1128 | ROBERTSON, JEFFREY | | | |
| 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | ,- | | 1712 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | Application No. | | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| • | | 10/057,118 | | KUMAR ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit |
| | | Jeffrey B. Robert | tson | 1712 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cove | r sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to | ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 J</u> | _ | | |
| 2a) | ,— | is action is non-fi | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit | | | |
| · | on of Claims | | | |
| • | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav | vn from consider | ation. | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers | r election require | ment. | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | |
| 10) 🔲 🗆 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | oted or b) object | ed to by the Exar | miner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be hel | d in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) 🔲 🗆 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)⊟ approve | ed b)⊡ disappro | ved by the Examiner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | ly to this Office ac | tion. | , |
| 12) 🔲 🗆 | Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been rece | eived. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been rece | ived in Application | on No |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list | eau (PCT Rule 1 | 17.2(a)). | S |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | • | |
| |) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro | | - | |
| | acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | |
| Attachment | c(s) | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u> 3 | 4) | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| I.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev | | tion Summary | | Part of Paper No. 6 |

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claim 2, the claim appears to be written as a dependent claim, but no dependency is set forth in the claim. For examination purposes, the examiner has interpreted the claim as depending from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaycox et al. (WO 99/60065) in view of Brindoepke (U.S. Patent No. 5,332,785).

For claims 1 and 19, on page 2, lines 4-32 and page 3, lines 1-19, Jaycox teaches a coating composition that contains a crosslinking component comprising a polyamine having an average of at least two amine functionalities per polyketimine molecule, a binder component containing a polyacetoacetate where the

Art Unit: 1712

polyacetoacetate contains at least two acetoacetate functionalities and contains a methacrylate monomer as a precursor. Here, Jaycox also teaches a method where the components of the composition are combined to form a pot mix, where the pot mix is applied over a substrate and cured under ambient conditions. For claim 6, Jaycox teaches that the coating composition has a low VOC. For claim 20, on page 3, in line 28, Jaycox teaches that the substrate is an autobody. On page 14, lines 27-30, Jaycox sets forth that the addition of resins such as an epoxy resin is optional.

For claim 3, on page 5, lines 29-30, Jaycox prefers the use of a polyketimine. For claims 8 and 13, on page 11, lines 16-20, Jaycox teaches that the monomer mixtures are from 5 to 90% by weight of the total binder component solids weight. For claim 10, on page 11, lines 5-7, Jaycox teaches that the weight average molecular weight of the acrylic polyacetoacetate is preferably from 1000 to 35,000. For claim 12, on page 6, lines 3-7, Jaycox teaches that the polyamine has a weight average molecular weight of 100 to 100,000.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,118

Art Unit: 1712

Although Jaycox teaches that an epoxy resin is optionally used, Jaycox fails to teach an epoxy resin that has one acetoacetate and one epoxy functionality or the amount of epoxy resin to be added to the coating composition. For claim 6, the amount of epoxy resin is a result effective variable that depends on the intended use of the coating composition as set forth by Jaycox on page 14, lines 25-27. A result effective variable is determined according to the desired properties of the resulting composition and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Brindoepke, in column 1, lines 36-45, teaches a liquid coating composition containing a polyepoxide with hydroxyl groups that is reacted with acetoacetic acid derivatives and a polyamine. The product of the reaction of the polyepoxide with hydroxyl groups and the acetoacetic acid derivative is an epoxy resin with at least one epoxy and at least one acetoacetate functional group. For claims 4 and 5, in column 4, lines 19-23, Brindoepke teaches that the GPC determined molecular weight ranges from 300-50,000, which significantly overlaps the ranges set forth by applicant.

Brindoepke and Jaycox are analogous art in that they are from the same field of endeavor, namely, the use of acetoacetate containing binders in coating compositions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the epoxy resins of Brindoepke as the optional epoxy resin component in the compositions of Jaycox. The motivation would have been that Brindopoeke teaches that the epoxy resins of the patent have rapid curing at low temperatures in column 7, lines 40-55. Since rapid cure is also a desired property of Jaycox as set forth on page

Application/Control Number: 10/057,118 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

5, lines 9-16, one of ordinary skill in the art, in utilizing the optional epoxy component of Jaycox, would have selected the epoxy resin of Brindoepke to preserve the fast curing properties of the composition.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaycox et al. (WO 99/60065) in view of Brindoepke (U.S. Patent No. 5,332,785) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schoonderwoerd et al. (WO 96/3756).

Jaycox in view of Brindoepke teach the limitations of claim 1 as described above. Neither reference teaches the addition of an organosilane having an epoxy group or an amino group to the composition.

On page 2, lines 15-29, Schoonderwoerd teaches a composition that has improved adhesion to substrates. On page 2, line 30 through page 4, line 9, Schoonderwoerd sets forth a composition containing a polyacetoacetate, a crosslinker, and an organosilane that can be an amino or epoxysilane. On page 15, lines 21-23, Schoonderwoerd discloses that the composition can additionally contain an epoxy resin. On page 16, lines 29-32, Schoonderwoerd prefers amino and epoxy silanes. On page 18, lines 22-24, Schoonderwoerd teaches that the silane is to be added in an "adhesion enhancing-amount".

Schoonderwoerd, Jaycox, and Brindoepke are analogous art in that they all teach the use of acetoacetate containing binders in coating compositions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the silanes of Schoonderwoerd in the composition of Jaycox in view of Brindoepke. The motivation would have been that one of ordinary skill in the art, in desiring to improve

Application/Control Number: 10/057,118 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

the adhesion of a similar coating composition, would have added the silanes to obtain that improvement.

Conclusion

- 6. EP 0 967 198 A and DE 26 21 423 A are listed as X references in the International Search Report. However, DE 26 21 423 fails to teach the reactive component set forth by applicant that contains at least two acetoacetate functionalities and is a structured reactive diluent, an acrylic polymer, or a polyester. Likewise, EP 0 967 198 A fails to teach the presence of the particular acetoacetate functional components claimed by applicant as well as a component containing an epoxy group and an acetoacetate group. Therefore, these references do not anticipate the present claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Say (U.S. Patent No. 4,906,684), Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,288,804), Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,451,653), Song (U.S. Patent No. 5,567,761), and Tang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,297,320) are cited for general interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5929. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/057,118

Art Unit: 1712

Page 7

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Juffrey B. Robertson

Examiner Art Unit 1712

JBR April 18, 2003